The Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003

RES 2

Not like this ->

Shade circles like this ->

Notification of a Reasoned Opinion Review

For example

of a Specified Person

Instructions

BLOCK CAPITALS

The following form is to be used:

Write clearly within the boxes in

and in BLACK or BLUE ink

by the patient's RMO to notify the Mental Welfare Commission where there has been a review of a resoned opinion leading to the designation of a specified person in relation to prohibition / restriction of the use of telephones, or to other measures taken to ensure safety and security in hospitals.

Where a text box has a reference number to the left, you can extend your response on plain paper where is insufficient space in

Where not completing this form electronically, to ensure accuracy of information, please observe the following conventions:

the box. Extension sheet(s) should be clearly labelled with Patient's name and CHI number, and each extended response should be labelled with the appropriate text box reference number.			
Patient Details			
CHI Number			
Surname			
First Name (s)			
Other / Known As			
Title DoB	'Other / Known As' could include any name / alias th	Gender O Male Female	
dd/mm/yyyy RMO Details		''	
Surname			
First Name			
Title			
Hospital			
Ward / Clinic (If appropriate)			
Telephone No. e-mail address			
Approved under section 22 of the Act by:			
Health Board NHS			
Reasoned Opinion Details			
I, the RMO named above, made a reasoned opinion on Date / / / /			
that the patient is a speci regulations in relation to	ified person as defined in	Use of TelephonesSafety and Security in Hospitals	



	to be completed by the RMO			
Reasoned Opinion Review Details				
The specified person requested a review of that reasoned opinion on	Date / / /			
A review of the reasoned opinion was undertaken on:	Date //			
As part of the review, I considered representations from:	the specified personthe specified person's named person			
The outcome of the review of the reasoned opinion, for the reasons stated below, was that:				
O the patient should continue to be defined as a specified person				
O it is no longer necessary for the patient to be defined as a specified person				
Notifications				
Notification to patient (shade as appropriate) I informed the patient of the outcome of this review, OR I did not inform the patient as it would be prejudicial to their health or treatment				
Notification to others Regulations require that the patient's named person and the Commission be informed of the outcome of this review.				
Signed by the RMO Date // // // // // // // // // // // // //				



RES 2 V6.0 Page 2 of 2